

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/008,292	11/13/2001	Jan Man	2475-010559	2712	
75	90 11/20/2002				
Russell D. Orkin			EXAMINER		
700 Koppers Bu 436 Seventh Av		BELL, KENT L			
Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1818			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1661		
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summary	10/008,292 Examiner	<i>h</i>	7/4/N	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Office Action Gainmany	Examiner KENT L.:	_	Art Unit				
		KENT L.	BELL	1661				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet w	th the corres	spondence address	:			
	for Reply	7 5 7	MONITI	110) EDOM				
THE I - Extens mailing - If the I - If NO I - Failure - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SEMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Signors of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136 (a). If go date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the period to the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the period to the	In no event, however, may a re the statutory minimum of thirty and will expire SIX (6) MONTE the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed y (30) days will b HS from the maili NDONED (35 U.)	d after SIX (6) MONTHS be considered timely, ng date of this communic S.C. § 133).				
Status 1)X	Responsive to o smmunication(s) filed on <u>pa</u>	ners filed	8/9/02	2	·			
2a) 🗌		ction is non-final.						
3) 🗀	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex p				merits is			
Disposi	tion of Claims							
•	Claim(s) 1							
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/ar	re withdrawn froi	n consideration.			
5) 🗀	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.					
6)🔀	Claim(s) 1			is/ are rejected.				
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected t	0.			
8) 🗔	Claims	are subj	ect to restri	ction and/or elect	ion requirement.			
	ation Papers							
,	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)2	The drawing(s) filed on $8/9/02$ is/are a) \times accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11).	The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examin							
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl-	y to this Office action.						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	miner.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ave been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ave been received in A	Application I	No	·			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	1}}.	n this National St	age			
	see the attached detailed Office action for a list of t			·				
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest							
	The translation of the foreign language provisio							
15):	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U	.S.C. §§ 12	O and/or 121.				
Attachm		an i ^{re} a .	(DTO 412) 2	. 51. (-)				
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
3) [] In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6)Other						

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

Status of Application

Applicant's substitute specification, including the amendments, and comments filed August 9, 2002 have been entered and fully considered but are not found persuasive as to the issues set forth in this Office action. The claim rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st and 2nd paragraphs set forth in the Office action mailed May 3, 2002 is withdrawn as applicant has provided the requested information. However, an Office action follows.

Applicant's photographic illustration filed August 9, 2002 has been entered and approved by an Official Draftsperson.

Applicant's Declaration and Power of Attorney filed September 4, 2002 has been entered.

Applicant's supplemental response filed September 4, 2002 is acknowledged.

Objection to the Disclosure

37 CFR 1.163

The following is a quotation of section (a) of 37 CFR 1.163:

(a) The specification must contain as full and complete a disclosure as possible of the plant and the characteristics thereof that distinguish the same over related known varieties, and its antecedents, and must particularly point out where and in what manner the variety of plant has been asexually reproduced. In the case of a newly found plant, the specification must particularly point out the location and character of the area where the plant was discovered.

35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

As specific to United States Plant Patent applications, the specifics of 37 CFR 1.164 (reproduced below) are controlling:

The claim shall be in formal terms to the new and distinct variety of the specified plant as described and illustrated, and may also recite the principal distinguishing characteristics. More than one claim is not permitted.

In plant applications filed under 35 U.S.C 161, the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112 are limited. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 162:

No plant patent shall be declared invalid for noncompliance with section 112 of this title if the description is as complete as is reasonably possible. The claim in the specification shall be in formal terms to the plant shown and described.

The disclosure is objected to under 35 CFR 1.163(a) and under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification presents less than a full, clear, and complete botanical description of the plant and the characteristics which define same per se and which distinguish the plant from related known cultivars and antecedents.

More Specifically:

Page 4

Serial Number: 10/008,292

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

A. Page 1, after line 13, before the Table, Applicant should set forth the recitation -- Table

1-- centered above the Table so as to designate that what is set forth is a Table.

The above listing may not be complete. Applicant should carefully review the disclosure

and import into same any corrected or additional information which would aid in botanically

identifying and/or distinguishing the cultivar for which United States Plant Patent protection is

sought.

Claim Rejection

35 U.S.C. 112, 1st & 2nd Paragraphs

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs as not being

supported by a clear and complete botanical description of the plant for the reasons set forth in

the Objection to the Disclosure Section above.

Claim Rejection - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The Claim is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Plant Breeder's Right application number BGN0268 (The Netherlands) in view of applicant's admission that 'Nadine' was "sold in The Netherlands on November 1, 1999" (Page 2 of response filed August 9, 2002).

The Netherlands application number BGN0268 was published October 16, 1999. The published Netherlands application is a "printed publication" under 35 U.S.C. 102 because it is accessible to persons concerned with the art to which the document relates. See *In re Wyer*, 655 F.2d 221, 226, 210 USPQ 790, 794 (CCPA 1981). See also MPEP § 2128. Copies of The Netherlands application (BGN0268) are obtainable through a Netherlands Register of Varieties. This register is open to public inspection and the applications are published in the Nederlandse Staatscourant.

Thus information regarding the claimed variety, in the form of the publication noted above, was readily available to interested persons of ordinary skill in the art. A publication relied upon as prior art under 35 USC 102(b) must be enabling. The text of the relied upon publication standing alone would not enable one skilled in the art to practice the claimed invention. However, when the claimed subject matter is disclosed identically by a reference, an additional reference

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

may be relied on to show the primary reference has an "enabled disclosure". *In re Samour*, 571 F.2d 559, 197 USPQ 1 (CCPA 1978) and *In re Donohue*, 766 F.2d 531, 226 USPQ 619 (Fed. Cir. 1985). See also MPEP § 2131.01. When the claim is drawn to a plant, the reference, combined with knowledge in the prior art, would enable one of ordinary skill in the art to reproduce the claimed plant. *In re LeGrice*, 301 F.2d 929, 133 USPQ 365 (CCPA 1962). If one skilled in the art could reproduce the plant from a publicly available source, then a publication describing the plant would have an enabling disclosure. See *Ex parte Thomson*, 24 USPQ 2d 1618, 1620, (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1992)("The issue is not whether the [claimed] cultivar Siokra was on public use or sale in the United States but, rather, whether Siokra seeds were available to a skilled artisan anywhere in the world such that he/she could attain them and make/reproduce the Siokra cultivar disclosed in the cited publications."). See also MPEP § 2121.03.

Applicant admits on page 2 of the response filed August 9, 2002 that the cultivar 'Nadine' was sold in The Netherlands on November 1, 1999. This constitutes evidence that the claimed cultivar was available to the public more than one year before the present application's United States filing date. The Plant Breeder's Right application filing number BGN0268 is enabled because the disclosed cultivar could have been propagated from publicly available materials, and one skilled in the art would have the knowledge of how to do so, given the notoriety of various methods of asexual propagation. See, e.g., *Thomson, supra.* See also Cooper, <u>Biotechnology</u> and the Law § 8.05 (1998 Clark Boardman Callaghan). "In essence then, a plant patent applicant

Serial Number: 10/008,292 Page 7

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

cannot lose his rights through public description of the new variety so long as he does not make the stock available for propagation by the public.".

Comments

Due to the amount of revision seen necessary in this application, it is suggested that Applicant file a substitute specification, incorporating all additions, deletions and modifications so as to provide the printer a clean copy at the time of allowance. Applicant should specifically authorize cancellation of the present specification to the same. Further, a clean copy and marked up copy (showing any addition, deletion, and/or modification) of the substitute specification should be submitted.

Future Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Kent L. Bell whose telephone number is (703) 306-3224. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached at (703) 308-4205.

The fax phone number for the group is (703) 305-3014 or 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

K. L. Bell

KENT BELL PRIMARY EXAMINER

Kent & Bell

Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) 6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson, MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made, other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes.

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submet the drawing corrections within the time period set in the attached Office communication. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application